

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,807	04/28/2000	WILFRIED MODROW	3245-734PUS	9810
75	90 02/27/2003			
THOMAS C PONTANI COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVÉNUÉ			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, LEN	
.SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, N	IY 10176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	19
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	' (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>i</i> 7			
in the second		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Advisory Action	09/509,807	MODROW ET A
		Examin r	Art Unit
		Len Tran	1725
Ti	ne MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence

address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (PCE) in compliance with 37 CER 1.114

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
To6.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5 and 8</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that both Simsek and Chun et a do not teach the measurement of the "temperature profile". However, examiner respectfully disagrees. In claim 5, step b, applicant claims to measure the surface temperature of the continuous cast slab over time and determining an amount of heat and a temperature profile of the continuous cast slab. In Chun et al, the temperature profile is determined by the gamma radiation to detect a spatial profile for a liquid metal/solid metal interface (abstract). The detector detects gamma radiation passing through the "partially solidified strand" to detect a degree of solidification of the strand (col. 3. lines 3-7). The information regarding liquid/solid metal fraction from the interface detector is used by the data acquisition and control system as a process controller. The speed withdrawal of the strand by the drawing rollers can be increased or decreased or the flow rate of coolant changed to yield the desired solidification front profile and position (col. 5 lines 39-46). Therefore, with the above teachings, Chun et al explicitly teach measurement of the surface temperature, since gamma radiation is for detecting at the solidified portion (outer surface), which depends on the surface temperature. Therefore, claims 5 and 8 remain rejected.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER